

United States District Court

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

RAMIRO SANTOS-JIMENEZ

Defendant

United States District Court
Southern District of Texas
FILED

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

AUG - 8 2012

CASE NO: M-12-CR-1137-01
(M-12-1630-M)

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142, a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

Part I - Findings of Fact

- ☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is
- ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).
- ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
- ☐ an offense for which the maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in _____.
- ☐ a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.

- ☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
- ☐ (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).
- ☐ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

Alternate Findings (A)

- ☐ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
- ☐ for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in _____.
- ☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).
- ☐ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

Alternate Findings (B)

- ☒ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- ☐ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by (clear and convincing evidence) (a preponderance of the evidence) that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the [] appearance of the Defendant as required and [] safety of the community. The factual findings and reasons for this ruling were stated on the record at the detention hearing, and the hearing transcript is specifically incorporated as the undersigned's written findings and reasons pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i). See United States v. Peralta, 849 F.2d 625, 626 (D.C. Cir. 1988); United States v. Davis, 845 F.2d 412, 415 (2d Cir. 1988).

Should new information come to light that would materially affect this Court's ruling, the Defendant may move to reopen the detention hearing. See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). Defendant may also seek review of this ruling by the District Court pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3145; in moving for such review, Defendant shall submit a transcript of the detention hearing.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: August 8, 2012

 Signature of Judicial Officer
 Peter E. Ormsby, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 *et seq.*); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 *et seq.*); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).